

# City of Prescott

## City Council - Voting Meeting



January 13, 2026 | 3:00 PM  
201 N Montezuma Street  
City Council Chambers, 1st Floor  
Prescott, AZ 86301

### MINUTES

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

Mayor Rusing called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m.

**2. INTRODUCTIONS / ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth provided announcements related to the upcoming State of the City event on Thursday, January 15, water treatment taking place at Goldwater Lake on January 15 as part of the city's Healthy Lakes Program, City Hall closures for the Martin Luther King, Jr. Holiday on January 19, and the January 22 groundbreaking at the Old City Hall Hotel.

She added that the City Clerk's Office is currently seeking applications for a variety of the city's Boards/Commissions/Committees with upcoming term expirations. Applications are due by Friday, January 30 and information is available on the city website: <https://prescott-az.gov/prescott-city-clerk/boards-commissions/#vacancies> or by contacting the City Clerk's Office at 928-777-1437.

**3. INVOCATION - Pastor Scott Savage with Cornerstone Church**

**4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth**

**5. ROLL CALL**

Cathey Rusing - Mayor  
Lois Fruhwirth - Mayor Pro Tem  
Mary Frederickson - Councilwoman  
Ted Gambogi - Councilman  
Jim Garing - Councilman  
Patrick Grady - Councilman  
Jay Ruby - Councilman

**6. PROCLAMATIONS**

A. Celebrating the United Way of Yavapai County

Councilman Grady presented the Proclamation.

B. Martin Luther King Jr. Day - January 19

Mayor Rusing presented the Proclamation.

**7. OPEN CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

A. Ralph Hess addressed the Council regarding the Council-Manager form of government and the Council's job to serve as representatives to the citizens of the Prescott community. He pointed out that the Rules of Procedure address this, and the City Charter. He stated that it was not the intent to have petitions denied after fourteen days, this does not comply with the spirit of the law. He requested that a presentation be provided to review the Council-Manager form of government.

B. Bonnie McMinn addressed the Council and submitted a citizen petition regarding the Rodeo Master Plan. She stated that this petition is related to process and whether or not the process is being followed as established in accordance with Resolution 2023-1862. This petition would remove the sunset included in that Resolution as well as protecting the designated open space.

C. Tony Hamer addressed the Council regarding re-visiting goals and promises made during last year's election. He reviewed the promises made by each newly elected Council member. He challenged them to develop metrics around all of the goals to have a scorecard where they can rate themselves.

D. Isaac Aaron Jackson addressed the Council as a student here in Prescott who has been a resident for fourteen years. He discussed a Reddit substack that discussed whether racism exists in Prescott, he stated that he disagrees and pointed out two specific situations that he has personally experienced.

## **8. CONSENT AGENDA**

### **MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM FRUHWIRTH TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS 8.A. THROUGH 8.F., EXCLUDING ITEMS 8.B., 8.C. AND 8.E.; SECONDED BY MAYOR RUSING: PASSED (7 - 0)**

- A. Approval of Meeting Minutes from the December 3, 2025 Council OnBoarding Workshop, the December 9, 2025 Executive Session, the December 9, 2025 Study Session, and the December 9, 2025 Voting Meeting.
- B. Action Regarding a Citizen Petition Received by the Council at the December 9, 2025 Voting Meeting and Submitted by Charles K. Thomas.

Mayor Rusing pulled this Item from the Consent Agenda for further discussion and stated that the petition requested an adopted Ordinance related to abandonment of an alleyway back in October 2025. She asked the City Attorney to address this issue.

City Attorney Joseph Young stated that there needs to be an Ordinance adopted which will come forward to Council in February. He added that both the petitioner and property owner are working to address the issues with staff.

Councilwoman Frederickson added that there is a second property owner who has used the south end of Marina Street as a secondary access point for their driveway and this would impact them as well.

Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth asked the City Attorney for confirmation that all access issues are being discussed.

Mr. Young stated that the transfer of the property is not complete until the Ordinance is adopted, so if the Council determines that they feel work still needs to be done the Ordinance can be continued to a future meeting again. He added that the Council needs to vote on the petition.

**MOTION BY MAYOR RUSING TO TABLE ACTION ON ITEM 8.B. WITH STAFF RETURNING TO COUNCIL WITH AMENDED ORDINANCE DOCUMENTS AT A VOTING MEETING IN FEBRUARY; SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN FREDERICKSON: PASSED (6 - 0 - 1) COUNCILMAN RUBY ABSTAINING**

- C. Approval of City Contract No. 2024-217A4, an Amendment to City Contract No. 2024-217 with Civic Solutions for Ongoing Work on the Economic Development Strategic Plan. Funding is Budgeted & Available in the Economic Development Department Budget.

Councilman Grady pulled this Item from the Consent Agenda for further discussion. He stated that he is a supporter of the Economic Development Strategic Plan, but stated that he is not familiar with this contract, which has been amended a few times, and asked staff to address these amendments.

Community Outreach Director John Heiney responded that there are two contracts related to the development of the Strategic Plan. One which specifically developed the strategic plan and was approved in November 2024. Then it was determined that there were additional deliverables that the group could assist with, including Sector Group Meetings, related to the implementation of the plan itself. Further amendments were made to allow for them to take on these tasks, and this amendment will allow the group to wrap-up these tasks and turn everything over to the city's new Community Outreach Manager as smoothly as possible.

Councilman Garing asked what the limit is on the size of an increase in a contract when there was only one RFP process.

City Attorney Joseph Young stated that it is treated as a new contract, but is below the procurement threshold which would require it to go out to bid again. He added that he could send the Procurement Code information to the Council.

Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth asked how the staff would proceed if the Council denied this request.

Mr. Heiney responded that they would proceed without the assistance of the consultant and could miss out on the incentive work the consultant is providing. Approval of this would help present a smoother and faster transition.

**MOTION BY COUNCILMAN GRADY TO APPROVE CITY CONTRACT NO. 2024-217A4; SECONDED BY MAYOR RUSING: PASSED (6 - 1) MAYOR PRO TEM FRUHWIRTH DISSENTING**

- D. Approval of City Contract No. 2023-168A1, an Amendment to City Contract No. 2023-168 with BakerTilly US, LLP for the Independent Financial Statement Audit

and Other Required Financial Reports for Fiscal Years 2026 & 2027, in an Amount not to Exceed \$133,322.00 Over the Two-Year Period. Funding is Available in the Finance Department Budget.

- E. Approval of the January 2026 to July 2026 Residential & Non-Residential Water Budgets in Accordance with the Amended 2022 Water Management Policy.

Councilwoman Frederickson asked about the lack of rollover from previous water budget cycles, and she also pointed out a typo in the report.

Water Resource & Environmental Services Manager Brian Ruiz responded that there is not always rollover available. His understanding is that the Water Issues Subcommittee did not consider rollover because there was a low volume available. The water budget is reviewed every six months and the Subcommittee forwards a recommendation to Council for approval. Finally, he stated that the equation issues will be addressed and fixed.

Councilman Ruby asked if the full budget is not used in a certain period is it tracked.

Mr. Ruiz confirmed that it is.

**MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM FRUHWIRTH TO APPROVE JANUARY 2026 TO JULY 2026 WATER BUDGET; SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN FREDERICKSON: PASSED (6 - 1) COUNCILMAN GAMBONI DISSENTING**

- F. **CSP25-002:** Proposed Comprehensive Sign Plan for Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University (ERAU) to Upgrade Two (2) Existing Monument Signs & Add One (1) New Monument Sign Along Willow Creek Road. Location: 3700 Willow Creek Rd. Property Owner/Applicant: ERAU.

## **9. CONSENT ORDINANCE**

- A. Adoption of Ordinance No. 2026-1927 Establishing a City Court Docket Fee. Mayor Rusing asked to discuss these Items separately. She commented that there tend to be a lot of comments regarding new fees, and wanted to let people know that this item is for a new court docket fee which is a user fee.

City Judge Patrick Gann confirmed and stated that it is for civil matters. The fee can also be waived if it is an undue burden, if a defendant is found not responsible they are not required to pay the fee.

Councilwoman Frederickson asked if the fee would still need to be covered even if it isn't collected by the defendant.

Judge Gann confirmed, and added that the city court is one of the busiest in the state.

**MOTION BY MAYOR PRO TEM FRUHWIRTH TO ADOPT ORDINANCE NO.**



**2026-1927; SECONDED BY COUNCILWOMAN FREDERICKSON: PASSED (7 - 0)**

- B. Adoption of Ordinance No. 2026-1928 Related to Permitted and Prohibited Activities within City Parks and Waterways.

Mayor Rusing stated that this Item is related to changes in rules at Prescott parks, as well as on trails and in lakes.

Deputy Recreation Services Director Tim Legler added that staff has updated definitions related to camping which are tightened in this Ordinance. The Ordinance also removes the specific parking fee cited in code, so that it can be updated with the city's fee schedule as needed by the Council, and it addresses swimming/wading in city waterways to help with enforcement.

Councilwoman Frederickson asked if "wading" would potentially impact children splashing around on the edge of the lake and if that activity would be prohibited.

City Attorney Joseph Young stated that it would prohibit that, but added that the Council could remove "wading" if they wanted to.

Mayor Rusing commented that she feels this is very heavy-handed. Kayakers, families, children and dogs all wade in the city lakes, and she doesn't want to have people coming up to enjoy the lakes getting tickets for that type of activity.

Mr. Legler responded that "swimming" applies to people and not animals, and reiterated that if the Council wants to do so they can remove the word "wading".

Mayor Rusing stated that she would like to do that and also recommended that adoption of this include that "cycles" be changed to "motorcycles" specifically to avoid confusion.

Mr. Young responded that could be done.

Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth commented that she had an issue with the revised language related to the definition of a park system, and would like to have that looked at as well.

**MOTION BY MAYOR RUSING TO TABLE ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2026-1928 TO THE NEXT VOTING MEETING; SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN GRADY: PASSED (6 - 1) COUNCILMAN GAMBOGI DISSENTING**

**10. REGULAR AGENDA**

- A. Presentation & Discussion Regarding the FY25 Audit Results & Financial Statements.

Finance Director Lars Johnson introduced Brian Hemmerle with BakerTilly to provide the presentation. He stated that these audit results cover the period of July 2024-June 30, 2025, and concluded in December 2025. These reports are

all published on the city's budget website as well.

Mr. Hemmerle addressed the Council as the external audit partner for the city.

Executive Summary:

- \* Financial audit Report - unmodified opinion
- \* Government Auditing Standards Compliance Report - no instances of noncompliance or other matters, and no identified material weaknesses
- \* Federal Grants Single Audit Report - major programs include airport improvement program/infrastructure investment and jobs act program, COVID19

He continued with a review of the expenditure limitation report which was submitted to the Auditor General's Office.

***This item was for discussion only, no formal action was taken.***

- B. **GPA22-001:** Discussion & Possible Action Regarding the Approval of the Prescott 2025 General Plan March 25, 2025 Version; Adoption of Resolution No. 2026-1964 Approving Ballot Language for the November 3, 2026 Special Election; Adoption of Resolution No. 2026-1963 Establishing a Council Subcommittee for General Plan Review; and Appointment of Members to the Council Subcommittee for General Plan Review.

City Clerk Sarah Thornhill introduced the discussion and topic.

Planning Manager Alex Bramlette provided a presentation regarding the March 25, 2025 version of the Prescott General Plan which Council requested be brought forward at the previous meeting. She added that she does have the June 2025 version of the Plan if the Council would like to discuss that.

Mayor Rusing commented that her goal is to have a version of the Plan on the November 2026 Ballot which is a "moon-shot", and she would like to have something overwhelmingly approved by the community.

Ms. Bramlette added that the updated future land use maps have also been provided to the Council for review. She reviewed the maps from March and June. The June 2025 version removed a number of land sections.

Mayor Rusing commented on a "transportation map" as well and asked staff to address that. She continued that her issue with the General Plan is the lack of maps, those should be included in the back and there should not be links as the only option to see the maps.

Ms. Bramlette stated that the Transportation Circulation Map is what the Mayor is referring to and this was not discussed until after the June 2025 General Plan discussion. A circulation map is required by statute, which is why it has been provided to the Council. In the transportation section of both the March and June versions of the Plan, there is no map included but the CYMPO plan is linked as well.

Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth commented that the March 25, 2025 version of the

Plan did not discuss how a citizen would move from one area to another in the city and that was one of the issues for her.

Council discussion took place regarding the need for improvements on the maps. Mayor Rusing stated that she doesn't feel attaching these maps would be appropriate.

Councilman Gambogi stated that he thinks the Council should hear from the public before going down another rabbit hole and making these decisions.

Councilman Ruby asked if the maps were binding.

City Attorney Joseph Young stated that the future land use map is binding. He added that included in the General Plan are the definitions for minor and major plan amendments, and that any increase in land use outside of that map would require a major plan amendment and a super majority vote of the Council. However, neither the future land use map or the circulation map matter as it relates to open space because unless it is city owned, the Council would have to obtain the rights in another way.

Councilman Grady commented that the most recent vote was for the March 25, 2025 version of the General Plan to come back. However, the Council is still in the same position and needs to declare their positions on which version they support. He concurred with Councilman Gambogi's comments to allow the public to comment, and added that the 2.5-year process of the General Plan was the most extensive process he has ever seen. He stated that he feels the Council should approve the March 25, 2025 version of the Plan and then have the established Subcommittee for General Plan Review work on the maps and develop an Executive Summary.

Mayor Rusing commented that either version of the Plan is good but would require some tweaking, which is why she proposed the Subcommittee and the consultant.

Councilman Garing stated that he has received communication from Airport Advisory Committee Chair Michael Gjede regarding some language in both versions of the Plan that would potentially jeopardize airport funding, which is something that concerns him.

Councilman Gambogi added that the General Plan Committee had eleven members who spent nearly three years working on and reviewing the Plan. There was significant public engagement to bring a proposal forward, and this document is not legally binding outside of the future land use map. He served on the Planning & Zoning Commission, has reviewed the 2015 General Plan and worked on the General Plan Review Committee and he cannot recall anything that has come forward based on issues with the 2015 Plan. These discussions are a "shiny object", there is nothing woke or DEI about this.

Member of the public Ralph Hess addressed the Council and asked about the different versions of the future land use maps. He stated low-medium density

was an issue in the March map and he suggested that very low density be one dwelling unit per acre or less.

Member of the public Rosemary Dixon addressed the Council and thanked the ones who responded to her email. She is in favor of passing the March 25, 2025 plan with the caveat that the maps be further reviewed. It is a mistake to presume what the voters will do if the plan is moved forward to them.

Member of the public Robert Shegog addressed the Council as a longtime city resident who is in favor of sending the General Plan to the voters for approval.

Member of the public Jonathan Best addressed the Council regarding issues related to discrimination in Prescott, and the need to make an issue of creating a diverse and unique community here.

Member of the public Kenneth Goellner addressed the Council regarding his concerns with the General Plan. The plan is not about a laundry list of moral issues or a place to tell people how to think. He stated that he gets a clear sense from the Council that they contempt from any criticism from the public, and something that is being done everywhere is taking word smithed language to deflect that criticism. This plan is an inversion of language and disingenuous.

Member of the public Sedona Ortega addressed the public as someone who was born and raised in Prescott and worked as an intern in the Community Development Department who worked over four years ago on this guiding document. The AG's Federal Funding guidelines explain best practices, which recommend explicit non-discrimination language in their documents. This document is in compliance and follows with what is recommended. How can we keep young people in this community not spending months on end arguing over language in a General Plan, Prescott is falling behind.

Member of the public Ann Louise Sawyer addressed the Council in support of the March version of the General Plan. This is a resource and catalog of ways to effectuate the overall living goals for the community. The details will help and not hinder the plan.

Member of the public Ken Fidyk addressed the Council recommending denial of the March 25, 2025 version of the General Plan. Many changes were made in June, but this document is not where it needs to be. This Plan is an overreach. Why re-invent the wheel instead of just taking the previous plan and make the necessary amendments.

Member of the public Greg Murray addressed the Council regarding the March 25, 2025 Plan being a good one and the result of good work of many people over the course of a few years. Since that was reviewed, there have been issues pointed out, particularly with the land use maps. Additionally, an Executive Summary would be beneficial because the document is longer. General Plans need to provide a vision for the future which is beneficial for the community.

Member of the public Robert Seton addressed the Council regarding his opinion

that the Plan should not include "woke, DEI" language included in the document. He discussed that there is a movement to have the Planning Departments take over the cities. He disapproves of the plan and it needs to go back to a Committee before a determination is made on how to move forward and should not be on a ballot until 2027.

Member of the public Michele Hamer addressed the Council in opposition to the March 25, 2025 Plan which is a bloated wishlist. How can the city claim that there is no money for first responders when putting forward an initiative for special interest issues. State law requires active reporting and monitoring of the General Plan. Delaying this to the 2027 Election is the best option, need honest talks about how no growth and slow growth programs have hurt the City of Prescott, it isn't the enemy it just needs to be properly managed. Need to look at how implementation of the General Plan can assist the city. She added that the recently adopted Legislative Platform included a DEI statement and the General Plan should reflect that.

Member of the public Jim Helbling addressed the Council in favor of the March 25, 2025 version of the General Plan. Owe it to the volunteers who dedicated their time and effort to allow the voters to decide what is best for them.

Member of the public Terry Sapio addressed the Council as a long-time resident and former Chair of the General Plan Review Committee and stated that he is surprised by how much controversy can be generated by just trying to make people happy. He added that people can get all of the things they want on a case-by-case basis even if the March 25, 2025 plan is not the one that is adopted. Only the land use map is legally enforceable, but each year when there is an annual report the goals and strategies still have to be addressed.

Member of the public John Morris addressed the Council as a long-time resident and pastor in Prescott, he thanked the Council for their service. In order to negotiate you have to listen to everyone.

Member of the public Diane DeSophia addressed the Council regarding the wording and agenda of the proposed General Plan. Words no longer mean what people used to think they meant. Managed, well-planned growth is appropriate, but this is a UN planned document.

Member of the public Patrick Pearson addressed the Council regarding the future land use map, and thanked the members of Council that looked at what the expansion areas would actually do.

Member of the public Leigh Budlong addressed the Council regarding the safeguarding of public safety and property rights which is not included in the General Plan. There are aspirational goals and attainable goals.

Member of the public Kellie Hart addressed the Council in support of the March 25, 2025 General Plan.

Member of the public Stephen Polk addressed the Council as a representative of



the James Family Ranch regarding the future land use map included in the March 25, 2025 version of the General Plan. The family has three generations of family members living in Prescott that are stewards of the community. He requested that the unannexed portions be completely left off the map. He added that the James family has approached the Council about workforce housing and are still interested in helping with that.

Member of the public Jenna James addressed the Council in favor of the June 2025 map with the decreased acreage and stated that her biggest problem with that map is the commercial corridor in north Prescott. We need to look at more targeted revisions. The goals and strategies are not legally binding and by taking out entire sections they are hurting the future of the community.

Member of the public Cynthia Wagner addressed the Council in support of the March 25, 2025 version of the General Plan, including sustainability/climate provisions and the diversity language. It is disheartening to have the hard work of the previous Committee overruled by a new subcommittee.

Member of the public Isaac Jackson addressed the Council regarding the diversity language and the fact that he does not think the Council or community is being manipulated by the UN. This is about building a community where everyone can feel comfortable and protected.

Member of the public Natasha Levey addressed the Council regarding her background in South Africa, the March 25, 2025 General Plan was created in unity and strength and should be adopted.

Councilman Garing stated that he is more in favor of the June 2025 plan with substantial revisions and not the March 25, 2025 version.

Councilman Grady commented that starting with the March 25, 2025 plan as the basis for moving forward, everyone should be concerned with the divisive nature of the world right now, and he doesn't understand how all the work and input could be disregarded. Modest changes need to be made to the transportation circulation map and including an Executive Summary would be beneficial. But, overall, he thinks the Council is in a good position.

Mayor Pro Tem Fruhwirth commented that this General Plan is a Christmas wish list that she doesn't want to track or report on each year. Chino Valley's General Plan is simple and wonderful with fifty goals. She is not in favor of this General Plan.

Councilwoman Frederickson stated that she discarded the idea that the March 25, 2025 version is what the General Plan Review Committee forwarded or what came out of the Review Committee, and she will not support forwarding it to the voters. She added that the very low density definition should be less than or equal to one dwelling unit.

Councilman Ruby thanked the public who attended and spoke today. There are a lot of people who deeply care about this community. "We the People" is a very

important guiding principle, and the people of Prescott took time to create this document so they are the ones who should decide if this non-binding document gets approved. The voters should decide and that is important. The Council has to start doing things with policy and housing and needs to move to the substantive issues of policy. He is open to either of the maps and asked if it is possible to include one of the maps.

Mr. Young responded that any of the versions of the map could be approved and included in the General Plan.

Councilman Gambogi stated that it is impossible to make all the people happy all the time. The future land use map is the only part that is legally binding. The fact is this plan is better than the 2015 General Plan and included extensively more public involvement.

**MOTION BY COUNCILMAN GAMBOGI TO APPROVE THE MARCH 25, 2025 VERSION OF THE PRESCOTT GENERAL PLAN WITH THE JUNE 2025 FUTURE LAND USE MAP; SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN RUBY: FAILED (3 - 4) MAYOR RUSING, MAYOR PRO TEM FRUHWIRTH, COUNCILWOMAN FREDERICKSON AND COUNCILMAN GARING DISSENTING**

**MOTION BY MAYOR RUSING TO ADOPT RESOLUTION NO. 2026-1963; SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN GRADY: PASSED (5 - 1 - 1) COUNCILMAN GAMBOGI DISSENTING AND COUNCILMAN RUBY ABSTAINING**

**MOTION BY MAYOR RUSING TO APPOINT COUNCILMAN GRADY, COUNCILWOMAN FREDERICKSON AND MAYOR RUSING TO THE COUNCIL SUBCOMMITTEE ON GENERAL PLAN REVIEW; SECONDED BY COUNCILMAN GARING: PASSED (5 - 1 - 1) COUNCILMAN GAMBOGI DISSENTING AND COUNCILMAN RUBY ABSTAINING**

Councilman Ruby commented that there was a lot of advocacy for the anti-discrimination language and asked what each of the Subcommittee appointees' perspective was on that language.

Mayor Rusing commented that is why she asked to hire a consultant that can deal with that and make sure that the General Plan passes overwhelmingly.

Council Grady stated that he is in favor of that and sees no reason to revise it further.

Ms. Bramlette added that staff needs direction from the Council on which version of the Plan (March or June) to have the Subcommittee review.

Mayor Rusing stated that the Subcommittee should decide that. But she feels that both the March and June 2025 versions as well as the 2015 version.

Councilman Garing added that the James family should be engaged in the discussion as well.

# 11. **BCC UPDATES: COUNCIL LIAISONS & GENERAL INFORMATION REGARDING MAYORAL AD HOCs**

City Clerk Sarah Thornhill provided an update on City Boards, Commissions & Committees. She stated that this item will be included on Council agendas one to two times per quarter.

## **Mayor Ad Hoc Updates**

Ms. Thornhill stated that Mayor Rusing has elected to retain and continue the previously established Mayors Veterans Commission. This group was originally established under former Mayor Greg Mengarelli, continued under former Mayor Phil Goode and is comprised of members representing the various veterans groups in Prescott. They meet once a month and will convene again on February 4<sup>th</sup> at 9 am.

Also, Mayor Rusing has re-established the Mayor's Charter Review Commission. This group was formed under former Mayor Phil Goode and previously worked on thirteen Charter Amendments in 2023, of which twelve were approved by voters. Following voter approval of these amendments, Mayor Goode dissolved the Ad-Hoc. Now re-formed, the group will convene once monthly beginning Wednesday, January 28<sup>th</sup> at 10 am.

## **Liaison Updates**

Councilman Garing informed staff that at the Jan. 8 P&Z Meeting, Commission Members discussed several concerns regarding proposed parking requirements for hospitality uses in the Downtown Prescott Business District, staff will look into these and make revisions to the proposal.

## **Upcoming BCC Meetings**

**January 14 11 am – Tourism Advisory Committee**

**January 15 3:30 pm – Special Civic Enhancement Committee**

**January 20 2 pm – Airport Advisory Committee**

**January 21 1:30 pm – CDBG Citizens Advisory Committee**

**January 29 9 am – Planning & Zoning Commission**

**February 9 9 am – Pedestrian, Bicycle & Traffic Advisory Committee**

**\*Meeting dates/times subject to change**

# 12. **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to discuss, Mayor Rusing adjourned the meeting at 6:26 p.m.

*Cathey Rusing*

CATHEY RUSING, Mayor

ATTEST:

*Sarah M. Thornhill*

SARAH M. THORNHILL, City Clerk

## **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that the foregoing minutes are a true and correct copy of the minutes of the Voting Meeting of the City Council Voting Meeting of the City of Prescott, Arizona held on January 13, 2026. I further certify the meeting was duly called and held and that a quorum was present.

*Sarah M. Thornhill*

---

Sarah M. Thornhill, City Clerk